UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		term to		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/638,983	08/12/2003	Ki-Cheol Lee	5000-1-413 6293	
33942 CHA & REITI	7590 08/02/2007 ER. LLC	EXAMINER		
210 ROUTE 4	EAST STE 103		LI, SHI K	
PARAMUS, NJ 07652			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2613	
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	08/02/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/638,983	LEE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Shi K. Li	2613	

	Shi K. Li	2613				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>29 May 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In						
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	•				
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	` '	(36/a) and the appropria	te extension fee			
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Office	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	hs of the date of ne appeal. Since			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	0001160			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	onsideration and/or search (see NO		·			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below). They are not deemed to place the application in be		ducing or simplifying	the issues for			
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	•	ected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		maliant Amandment	(DTOL 224)			
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 	·	*	(PTOL-324).			
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).			ent canceling the			
 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro 		Il be entered and an	explanation of			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	vided below of appended.					
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: <u>3-10,12 and 15</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,11,13,14 and 16-18</u> .		,				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	ut before or on the date of filing a N	otice of Appeal will p	nt ha antered			
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a			
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attac	hed.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).					
13. Other:						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicant argues that Wantanabe fails to disclose a packet exchanger. However, the rejected claims do not recite "packet exchanger". Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

SHIKLI

SHI K. LI PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER